

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/692,077	10/19/2000	Kersten M. Small	13105	6315
7590 10/03/2003			EXAMINER	
Holly D. Kozlowski DINSMORE & SHOHL LLP 1900 Chemed Center 255 East Fifth Street Cincinnati, OH 45202			JOHANNSEN, DIANA B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1634	
			DATE MAILED: 10/03/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary							
		09/692,077	SMALL ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Diana B. Johannsen	1634				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address P riod for Reply							
THE I - External form of the control	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a rep y within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT , cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 J	lune 2003 .					
2a)□	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
·	on of Claims						
•	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-64</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	· ·						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-64</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) .				

Art Unit: 1634

## **ELECTION/RESTRICTION**

Page 2

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-22, 30-44, and 63, drawn to methods of genotyping and disease risk detection comprising nucleic acid polymorphism detection, classified in at least, for example, class 435, subclasses 6, 19 and 91.2.
- II. Claims 23-29 and 64, drawn to methods of polypeptide detection, classified in at least, for example, class 435, subclass 7.1.
- III. Claims 45-62, drawn to methods of predicting response to an agonist or antagonist, classified in at least, for example, class 435, subclass 6 and class 530, subclass 388.24.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

  Inventions I-III are drawn to patentably distinct methods having different
  objectives and/or requiring different process steps. Invention I is drawn to methods of
  genotyping, polymorphism detection and disease risk detection that comprise steps of
  detecting nucleic acid polymorphisms. Invention II is drawn to methods of detecting
  polymorphic sites comprising detection of particular polypeptides. Invention III is drawn
  to methods of predicting agonist or antagonist response and to methods of selecting
  pharmaceutical compositions comprising correlating a polymorphic site to a
  predetermined agonist or antagonist response or selecting a pharmaceutical
  composition. Accordingly, Inventions I-III require the use of different method steps and
  different reagents and materials, and are patentably distinct from one another.

Art Unit: 1634

Page 3

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and recognized divergent subject matter, and because Inventions I-III require different searches that are not co-extensive, examination of these distinct inventions would pose a serious burden on the examiner, and therefore restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. With regard to Inventions I and III, it is further noted that while each of these Inventions encompasses nucleic acid detection, the Inventions are classified differently and require different fields of search. For example, examination of Invention III would require a search particular drugs, which search is not required by Invention I.

## Election Requirements

- 4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
- a) regarding Invention I, the 10 different oligonucleotides set forth in claim 18, which include oligonucleotides homologous to different regions of the alpha-2B-adrenergic receptor gene, as well as universal M13 primers; and
- b) regarding Invention III, the variety of different agonists and antagonists set forth in claims 49-50 and 59-60.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Specifically, if Invention I is elected, Applicant must elect one of the recited oligonucleotides, and if Invention III is elected, Applicant must elect

Art Unit: 1634

one of the recited agonists or one of the recited antagonists. Currently, claims 16, 45 and 55 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 1634

Page 5

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Diana B. Johannsen whose telephone number is 703/305-0761. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:30 am-4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, W. Gary Jones can be reached at 703/308-1152. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703/308-0196.

Diana B. Johannsen September 30, 2003

Juna Pa